IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 228 of 2004 (M/B)

Nakul Singh S/o Sri Kartar Singh,
R/o 13 New Survey Road, Dehradun. …… Petitioner

Versus

1. Collector, Dehradun.
2. Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Dehradun.
3. District Cooperative Bank Ltd., Dehradun through its Chariman.
4. Upper Tehsildar / Auction Officer, Dehradun. …….. Respondents

Sri Arvind Vashistha, Advocate for the petitioner.
Sri N.C. Gupta, Standing Counsel for respondents 1, 2 and and 4.
Sri Lok Pal Singh, Advocate for respondent no. 3.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Sri Arvind Vashistha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sri N.C. Gupta, Standing Counsel for respondents 1, 2 and 4.

Sri Lok Pal Singh, Advocate for respondent no. 3.

2. Sri Vashistha, the learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute that in view of the facts narrated in the counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent no. 3, the writ petition now has become infructuous.

3. The writ petition, therefore, is dismissed as infructuous.

4. With the above order, CLMA No. 7895 of 2006 also stands disposed of .

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) 29.06.2006

(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.) 29.06.2006

A